

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/676,601	XU ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit		
Michael Koczo, Jr.	3746		

All Participants:

(1) Michael Koczo, Jr.

Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____

(2) Carlos A. Fisher.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 June 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 14, 15, 16

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Examiner's Amendment. Claims 14 and 15 conflicted with claim 1 because they were drawn to a different embodiment.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)